UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,316	11/10/2003	Ramnath N. Iyer	EI-7595	4450
34769 7590 01/09/2007 NEW MARKET SERVICES CORPORATION (FORMERLY ETHYL CORPORATION) 330 SOUTH 4TH STREET RICHMOND, VA 23219			EXAMINER	
			LANG, AMY T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	3 MONTHS 01/09/2007 PAPER		ER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/705,316	IYER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
•	Amy T. Lang	3731		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS free, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under €.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-5,7-20 and 22-34 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 and 21 is/are witho 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-5,7-20 and 22-34 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	frawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/705,316 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.

The new grounds of rejection set forth below are necessitated by applicant's amendment filed on 10/25/2006. In particular, claims 1-5, 7-21, and 22-34. This combination of limitations was not present in the original claims. Thus, the following action is properly made final.

## Response to Arguments

Claim 1 rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph in office action mailed 06/06/2006 has been withdrawn in light of applicant's arguments.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Art Unit: 3731

- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1, 9-12, 14-16, 24-27, and 29-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Srinivasan (US 2002/0151441 A1).

With regards to claims 1, 9-12, 16, 24-27, and 31, US '441 discloses an automatic fluid composition comprised of major amount of base oil and phosphorus or boron containing dispersants ([0046]; claim 1, page 10). However, attention is drawn to where US '441 discloses that in a preferred embodiment the phosphorus containing dispersants are also boronated ([0058]). It therefore would have been obvious to incorporate both the boron containing dispersants and phosphorus and boron containing dispersants in equal amounts in the automatic fluid composition and arrive at the instant claims. US '441 further discloses the amount of dispersant as 3.77 wt% in the lubricating composition (Table 1, page 9).

In addition to dispersants, US '441 discloses overbased detergents in the composition, specifically calcium sulfonate and calcium phenate with a TBN of 300 and 260 mgKOH/gram respectively ([0041], [0042]). Although US '441 does not disclose the wt% calcium in the detergent, it is the examiner's position that TBN is related to wt% calcium in the compound. US '441 teaches that overbased detergents incorporate a large amount of calcium and superbased detergents have an exceptionally high TBN ([0041], [0043]). Therefore, superbased detergents comprise elevated amounts of wt% calcium so that wt% of calcium and TBN are related. Thus, if calcium sulfonate and calcium phenate overlap the instantly claimed ranges of TBN, they would also overlap the instantly claimed ranges of wt% of calcium.

Art Unit: 3731

With regards to **claims 14, 15, 29, 30, and 32-34**, US '411 discloses the fluid composition as a continuously variable transmission fluid that improves anti-shudder characteristics ([0023], [0025], [0026]). Furthermore, it is also taught as lubricating automatic transmissions with electronically controlled converter clutches whereby the composition would intrinsically increase steel-on-steel friction and stabilize steel-on-steel paper friction ([0003]).

4. Claims 1-5, 7-8, 13-20, 22-23, and 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chrisope (US 5,089,156) in view of Srinivasan (US 2002/0151441 A1).

With regard to **claims 1-5, 7, 8, 16-20, 22, 23, and 31**, US '156 discloses a transmission fluid comprised of born containing dispersants and phosphorus and boron containing dispersants (column 1, lines 58-62). The dispersants further comprise succinimides, specifically polyisobutylene succinimides, where the polyisobutylene has a molecular weight up to 10,000 or a number average molecular weight of 900-1300 (column 7, lines 11-19; column 7, line 62 through column 8, line 2). The weight percent of the dispersants is disclosed as 2-5 wt% in the lubricating fluid, which clearly overlaps the instant range (column 12, lines 40-46). The fluid composition is also comprised of a major amount of base oil, specifically 50 percent by volume or more (column 3, lines 19-28). Although US '156 does not specifically disclose the amount of phosphorus and boron containing additive in the total additive composition, US '156 does disclose a mixture of phosphorus and boron containing dispersants with a boron containing

Art Unit: 3731

dispersant. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention for the mixture to contain 50% of each dispersant in the additive composition.

US '156 also discloses a metal-containing detergent in the transmission fluid, including calcium sulfonate (column 12, lines 5-10). However, US '156 does not disclose this detergent as overbased. US '441 discloses an automatic fluid composition comprised of major amount of base oil and phosphorus or boron containing dispersants ([0046]; claim 1, page 10). The composition further includes overbased detergents, specifically calcium sulfonate ([0041], [0042]). Therefore, since US '441 discloses the use of an overbased calcium sulfonate detergent in a transmission fluid, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention for the calcium sulfonate detergent of US '156 to also be overbased.

With regard to **claims 13 and 28**, further additives are disclosed, specifically corrosion inhibitors, foam inhibitors, pour point depressants, viscosity index improvers, antioxidants and seal performance improvers, which clearly overlap the instant claims (column 10, line 67 through column 11, line 3; column 11, lines 13-14, 40-43; column 12, lines 15-17, 50-62).

With regard to **claims 14, 15, 29, 30**, the fluid disclosed by US '156 is specifically utilized for manual and automatic transmissions fluid and gear oils, which comprises the instantly claimed shifting clutches (column 13, lines 17-25). Furthermore, the disclosed composition is inherently suitable for use in a disk-type continuously variable transmission since US '156 teaches the same composition as is instantly claimed.

5. Claims 1, 12, 14-16, 27, and 29-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Srinivasan (US 5,578,236) in view of Srinivasan (US 2002/0151441 A1).

With regard to claims 1, 12, 16, 27, and 31, US '236 discloses a power transmission fluid comprised of phosphorus and boron containing dispersants, boron containing dispersants, and a major amount of base oil (column 1, lines 53-55; column 2, lines 17-19, 61-67). The dispersants are used in amounts of 3.77 wt% in the fluid composition, which clearly overlaps the instant range of 2.0% or more (column 14, Table 1, line 56). US '236 does not specifically disclose the amount of phosphorus and boron containing additive in the total additive composition. However US '156 does disclose a mixture of phosphorus and boron containing dispersants with a boron containing dispersant. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention for the mixture to contain 50% of each dispersant in the additive composition.

A detergent is also disclosed, specifically calcium sulfurized phenates with a TBN of 200 mgKOH/gram (column 12, lines 27-36). However, US '236 does not disclose this detergent as overbased. US '441 discloses an automatic fluid composition comprised of major amount of base oil and phosphorus or boron containing dispersants ([0046]; claim 1, page 10). The composition further includes overbased detergents, specifically calcium phenate ([0041]). Therefore, since US '441 discloses the use of an overbased calcium phenate detergent in a transmission fluid, it would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 3731

ordinary skill at the time of the invention for the calcium phenate detergent of US '236 to also be overbased.

With regards to **claims 14, 15, 29, 30, and 32-34**, the disclosed power transmission is specifically utilized for automatic transmission fluids, especially for new models of automatic transmission fluids which incorporate torque converter clutches that operate in a slip mode (column 2, lines 52-60). Therefore, the composition is suitable for use in a slipping torque converter and shifting clutches. Furthermore, a torque converter that operates in slip mode is equivalent to a continuously variable transmission fluid, including a disk-type CVT. Therefore, by utilizing the fluid composition disclosed by US '236 in a torque converter, it intrinsically improves steel-on-steel friction and anti-shudder characteristics and stabilizes steel-on-paper friction.

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 3731

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Page 8

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Amy Lang whose telephone number is (571) 272-9057.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

12/29/2006 Amy T. Lang

W17

ANHTUAN T. NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

1/4/07